said, nope, can't do that, can't suspend the rules. We've only suspended them a dozen times this session probably, but we're not going to suspend them this morning, we're going to use the And you've been all hunting for a way to subvert the system ever since. Ladies and gentlemen, I can use the system, I can live with the system. I don't mind. I would suggest that before this session is over you're going to have to understand the very rule that Senator Lynch has proposed. Talk about a hodgepodge, talk about troubles, it will take three days to explain it, let alone to get enough votes to adopt it. would suggest by the time that motion is adopted. Senator Chambers will have taught us a thing or two also. Mr. President, I move for the adoption.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. You've heard the closing. in favor of the reconsideration motion please vote ave, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 2 ayes, 24 mays on the motion to reconsider.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The motion fails.

Mr. President, Senator Chambers, the next amendment I have is number ten. Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature. Senator Schmit and I can't seem to get our train on the track, but we're going to keep trying. See, we learn, from watching Mike Harper, what the value of perseverance is. You don't quit, you continue to push and when your opponent is beaten down and weary, that's when you come forth with what is called in track, a kick. That means you show extra energy and you take the heart out of your opponent. He wonders how in the world can he be so tired and you seem to be gaining energy. When you believe in what it is you're doing, there is a sort of enthusiasm that is self-generated and we must be examples for the youth and the example we must show is that those things we believe in should never want for a champion. And if we believe in it, convince others to the extent that we, ourselves, are convinced, except Senator Schmit and I aren't able to make that principle work for us today. However, this amendment is one that I don't see how we can fail to accept. It says the following, and this is what would be exempted, not exempted, but available for a refund of the sales tax, "motor vehicles costing more than \$75,000." Again, this prices it out of the range of the poor. We don't want some of these people being mistaken for chief